

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN PHYSICIAN PRACTICES:

Reducing Administrative Burden, Protecting Margins,
and Strengthening Financial Performance



Author: Kristen Welch, SVP and Director of Healthcare Banking Group

Artificial intelligence has moved past the pilot stage in physician practices. The measurable returns are concentrated in the operational areas that most directly affect margin: clinical documentation, provider capacity, coding accuracy, and revenue cycle performance. For groups operating on compressed margins, rising denial rates, and a tightening physician labor market, AI is now a quantifiable lever rather than a speculative one.

The context is a workforce under strain. Roughly 43 percent of U.S. physicians reported at least one symptom of burnout in the most recent AMA benchmarking, down from a pandemic peak near 63 percent but still close to half the profession.³ Documentation burden is consistently among the strongest predictors, and the financial stakes are substantial: burnout-related turnover and lost productivity are estimated to cost the U.S. healthcare system roughly \$4.6 billion annually, with replacement costs for a single departing physician commonly estimated at \$500,000 to more than \$1 million once recruitment, onboarding, temporary coverage, and lost revenue are included.⁴ For a mid-sized or larger group, preventing even one or two burnout-driven departures per year is a material financial event.

AMBIENT DOCUMENTATION: MEASURABLE CAPACITY AND RETENTION EFFECTS

Ambient AI documentation, often called “AI scribes,” records the patient encounter and drafts the clinical note for physician review before it enters the EHR. The evidence base has matured quickly. A 2025 multi-system quality improvement study across six U.S. health systems found clinician burnout fell from 51.9 percent to 38.8 percent after 30 days of ambient scribe use.² A separate JAMA Network Open study spanning Mass General Brigham and Emory Healthcare, surveying more than 1,400 clinicians, found a 21.2 percent absolute reduction in burnout prevalence at one site and a 30.7 percent absolute increase in documentation-related well-being at the other.¹

For practice leaders, the operational translation matters more than the well-being metrics alone. Reduced after-hours documentation lowers attrition risk against replacement costs measured in the hundreds of thousands of dollars per physician; recovered in-visit attention supports higher throughput; and structured, AI-assisted notes are more consistently complete than notes written under time pressure at the end of a long day, which feeds directly into coding accuracy and reimbursement.⁴ The relevant ROI calculation extends well beyond software subscription cost.

Fulton Bank

fultonbank.com

REVENUE CYCLE: WHERE AI PROTECTS THE MOST DOLLARS

The clearest financial case for AI sits in the revenue cycle, and the pressure there is intensifying. Initial claim denials reached roughly 11.8 percent in 2024 and are widely projected to climb further, even as best-in-class performers hold denial rates below 3 percent.⁶ In Experian Health's 2025 survey of revenue cycle leaders, 41 percent reported that at least one in ten claims is denied.⁵ Denials are among the most expensive forms of revenue leakage because the rework is labor-intensive and reactive, and 43 percent of providers report their revenue cycle operations are already understaffed.⁵

The opportunity is that a large share of this loss is preventable. Roughly half of denials stem from front-end errors such as eligibility, demographics, and authorization gaps, with eligibility issues alone accounting for about 22 percent of preventable denials. AI addresses this on several fronts:

- **Denial prevention.** Predictive tools screen claims before submission for the most common triggers, including missing documentation, coding inconsistencies, eligibility problems, authorization deficiencies, and modifier errors. Reported outcomes include denial reductions in the 30 to 40 percent range, and case examples cite clean-claim rates at or above 98 percent.⁷
- **Coding accuracy.** AI coding tools analyze documentation to ensure the level of service is supported, reducing under-coding while maintaining compliance. This is particularly valuable in specialties with complex coding structures such as cardiology, orthopedics, gastroenterology, oncology, and behavioral health, where captured but undocumented complexity translates directly into recovered revenue.
- **A/R optimization and predictive analytics.** AI helps teams prioritize the accounts most likely to be reimbursed and surfaces trends in payer behavior and denial patterns, shifting revenue cycle work from reactive rework toward proactive prevention. Given days-in-A/R benchmarks below 30 and net collection rate targets at or above 95 percent, small improvements compound quickly at scale.⁶

THE ROLE OF A STRONG BANKING PARTNER

Capturing this value requires disciplined capital planning. Practices must weigh technology and implementation costs, workflow redesign, training and cybersecurity against projected returns in retention, throughput and net collections. A strong healthcare banking partner can support treasury management, liquidity planning, financing and long-term capital strategy while helping leadership assess expected ROI and the impact on cash flow and profitability. The practices that benefit most pair technology investment with sound financial planning.

LOOKING AHEAD

Adoption remains early relative to its potential. Although a majority of providers believe AI can improve the claims process, only about 14 percent had implemented AI tools at the time of the 2025 State of Claims survey, a wide gap between conviction and execution.⁵ Capabilities are expanding into scheduling optimization, prior authorization, referral management, population health analytics, and clinical decision support. The question is no longer whether AI belongs in practice operations, but how quickly a practice can deploy it in a way that improves care, retains providers, and produces measurable financial results.

Fulton Bank

fultonbank.com

Sources:

1. JAMA Network Open / Mass General Brigham & Emory Healthcare, ambient documentation study of 1,430 clinicians, 2025. <https://www.massgeneralbrigham.org/en/about/newsroom/press-releases/ambient-documentation-technologies-reduce-physician-burnout>
2. "Use of Ambient AI Scribes to Reduce Administrative Burden and Professional Burnout," JAMA Network Open, October 2025 (six U.S. health systems); UChicago Medicine summary. <https://www.uchicagomedicine.org/forefront/research-and-discoveries-articles/ambient-ai-saves-time-reduces-burnout-fosters-patient-connection>
3. American Medical Association National Burnout Benchmarking; AMA "pajama time" reporting. <https://www.ama-assn.org/practice-management/physician-health/burnout-way-down-pajama-time-stands-still>
4. Mayo Clinic Proceedings / AMA and Harvard Business School (Annals of Internal Medicine) estimates of burnout-related costs; per-physician replacement cost estimates. <https://www.getfreed.ai/resources/physician-burnout-statistics>
5. Experian Health, 2025 State of Claims Report (survey of 250 revenue cycle leaders); AJMC coverage. <https://www.experian.com/blogs/healthcare/healthcare-claim-denials-statistics-state-of-claims-report/>
6. Medical billing KPI benchmarks, 2024–2025 (initial denial rate, net collection rate, days in A/R). <https://humanmedicalbilling.com/blog/essential-medical-billing-kpis-for-2025-metrics-that-matter-for-revenue-cycle-success/>
7. MGMA data via industry reporting on recoverable denials; predictive denial tool outcomes. <https://www.statmedical.net/understanding-the-top-10-claim-denials-in-2025-and-how-to-prevent-them>

